

APPENDIX 1

ID	Would you like to make any further comments regarding the review?
1	<p>The document and the web site is not very explanatory, it does not give a rationale for the proposal nor does it specify the 22 properties identified to move out of the parish . Is my property one of those?</p> <p>Neither document explains where the 22 properties would move to, do they become unparished?</p> <p>If this is the case who represents these individuals?</p> <p>Why would the council spend all this time and money moving parish boundaries for 22 houses. Please explain why this is being done?</p> <p>I strongly object to this Proposal to move 22 houses out of the parish. My family have lived in the parish for nearly 50 years and have a Finstall address.</p> <p>I have no objection for the inclusion of Rutherford Road residents into the parish.</p> <p>To exclude 22 properties from the parish under this proposal is ludicrous and is a sheer waste of of public time and money.</p> <p>I would appreciate a reply answering my queries.</p> <p>(Name and address redacted)</p>
5	<p>The rutherford road estate is part of aston fields, they dont feel a part of finstall</p>
6	<p>What is the rationale for the request for a boundary change? It is difficult to answer the questionnaire without understanding the reason for the requested change. Thank you.</p>
8	<p>This boundary change would give more local people a further insight to questions they may have regarding the area in which they live and give them the chance to be more involved in local issues.</p>
9	<p>I'm unclear on the benefits for the extra cost that will be charged to me. Please can you articulate the benefit to impacted residents.</p>
11	<p>More information is needed on the proposed change. Why is it being proposed, other than to raise additional funds for the parish council? I object to paying additional council tax, being taken out of the boundary for Aston Fields, and presumably gaining chancery liabilities I don't currently have.</p>
21	<p>Removing the small area west of the railway line from the parish appears very sensible to me, and I support that. However, I would very much like to know the reasoning behind the suggestion of adding the Rutherford Road area to the parish. My initial reaction is to strongly oppose that change because it would drastically affect the character of the parish. The parish is currently very low-density and semi-rural to rural in character, with most houses either adjacent to or very near agricultural land, while the Rutherford Road area is a much higher density housing estate. Adding this area to the parish would create a parish that is split between two groups having dramatically different environments, experiences, and interests. This would not be consistent with goals mentioned in the terms of reference for this review, such as being able to identify clearly with the parish and reflecting distinctive and recognisable communities of interest.</p>
23	<p>This a complete waste of time and tax payers money. You've posted out a massive quantity of letters, some, 2 or 3 per household, wasted paper, postage and our time!!</p>
26	<p>The area around Rutherford Road has very little representation by it local councillor and this is the general feeling of the community. Its movement into the Finstall Parish would certainly help with its inclusion.</p>
27	<p>I agree entirely we need to be included to make our thoughts known.</p>
28	<p>I wasn't aware that Rutherford Rd etc was in an unparished area. I have lived there for over 30 yrs. Will this make any difference to where I can vote in elections?</p>

29	This is clearly a move by finstall parish Council to increase their income received from precept. The area under debate is and always has been aston fields there is no need for it to be moved.
31	Boundary change to support new, unwanted housing development should be described in such terms. Your proposal is presented in an underhand and misleading manner
32	Not needed no warranted and we dont want new housing developments
40	This seems like an attempt to prop up the existing parish with substantial additional funds for services which do not benefit the area that is being proposed to be moved into the parish. This area is a housing estate that is part of Aston Fields, not Finstall. Most residents use the pubs, restaurants and services in Aston Fields. Furthermore, considering that it is a housing estate, it has none of the things that are managed by a parish council that you have listed in your letter to residents. There are no allotments, burial grounds, bus shelters or open spaces, and we would not make the 30+ minute walk to the Finstall village hall. It very much seems like we would be paying money for nothing.
41	The inclusion of the wagon works housing development in Finstall parish would materially alter the nature of the parish. Currently, we are a village where we know our neighbours and have a positive community network. The inclusion of the large and totally separate new area of housing could totally subsume the wishes of the current smaller village.
42	Don't think I would get better services for the increased council tax.
44	Lots of information about regulations and process, very little about why the proposed changes are being suggested, the rationale behind them and the anticipated advantages for my area (Rutherford Road estate) if we were to come under Finstall Parish Council. Our area identifies with Aston Fields rather than Finstall. I'm unclear from the documentation what I and my neighbours would be getting for the additional precept. The uncertainty about the future of Worcestershire County Council and BDC is also an issue.
46	My knowledge of parish councils leads me to concern that such a move might not be in our interest.
49	Let's keep the village as a village, not for more houses which will be eventually built here